

HISTORY

Appeared in Version 8 AT&T UNIX as `seq(1)`:

seq [-w] [-p picture] [first [incr]] last

With a default format of "% .0f" (rounded to an integer). **-p** induced the desired format from the number provided, in the

[-][0anything] ... [. [anything] ...]

format, with leading zeroes setting the width. As a noted bug, exponent (the only other recognised floating point format, with **feEgG** available) *pictures* are not recognised.

Version 10 AT&T UNIX defaults to "%g", making **-w** iterate over all values to determine the width (ignoring it for final values over **1'000'000**), and replaces **-p** with an unchecked **-f**.

Both implementations use *doubles* and pre-compute the iteration count, which must fit in an *int*. Additionally, Version 10 AT&T UNIX introduces a rounding error to that computation, causing `0.3 0.19 1` to end at `1.06` instead of `0.87`.

Plan 9 from Bell Labs inherits the Version 10 AT&T UNIX implementation. The iteration count was dropped at some indeterminable point after the fourth edition, at the expense of not terminating for big enough input.

BUGS

Sticking to a hard-line *long double* behaviour when all arguments are both integral and in range of a 64-bit integer could be considered a bug, see **EXAMPLES** for the side-effects of this precision loss. However, one could say that a strict IEEE Std 754-1985 reading of $(2e21 - 2e20) / (1 - (1 \% \epsilon)) = \infty$ (with $\epsilon \approx 9$ at the low end) is the *only* valid interpretation, especially with the inconsistency brought on between something like **seq 2e20 2e21** and **seq 2e20 2000000000000000000000.1** — the former would output 1.8e21 numbers, the latter infinitely many. Most systems would agree; the GNU system wouldn't, but it also does insane shit to **seq** arguments, so who knows; it's important to not lose the forest for the GTrees. What do the users expect? What are the corner-cases (of the implementation, but more-so the user expectations)?